ISSN: 2753-5746

CAMBRIDGE LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 8 ISSUE 2 AUTUMN 2023

CAMBRIDGE LAW REVIEW EDITORIAL BOARD

EDITORS Sebastian Kjærnli Aguirre Neelabh Kumar Bist Thajaswini Coimbatore Balasubramanian **Cameron** Coleman Renatus O F Derler Spyridon Drakopoulos Wednesday Eden Zhi Geng Adhiraj Gupta Ryan Henry Ho Sadie Hughes Niharika Julka Mehera Kochar Varad Sumant Kolhe Kui Li Harry Maunder Su Jia Moh Kamakshi Puri Matthew Raffety Aparna Raju Srijan Somal Ishita Soni Devina Srivastava Christopher Symes Elle Wintle Kai Yeo Cameron Zhang

INTERNATIONAL EDITORS Jocelyn Catenacci Western University Alan Gül Leiden University Amanda Huang University of Virginia Nicholas Hui University of Melbourne Miranda Lalla Leiden University Blair Michael Ntambi University of Cape Town Lena Raxter University of Ottawa; American University Rosa Maria Torraco University of Bologna

EDITORIAL

It is with great pleasure that we present the Autumn Issue of Volume 8 of the *Cambridge Law Review*. As ever, we have received numerous submissions from around the world. It is gratifying to see that authors of all backgrounds continue to view this journal as a platform on which they can share their scholarship.

This Issue comprises three articles: two on contemporary issues in international law, and one on English law. In 'Hostis Humani Generis: Universal Jurisdiction in English Criminal Law', Mischa Gureghian Hall explores the development of the international law principle of universal jurisdiction (which permits states to assert extraterritorial criminal jurisdiction in certain circumstances), how the principle is reflected in English law, and its potential application in relation to the Russo-Ukrainian war. In 'Sinking States, Sunken Statehood? The Recognition of Submerged States under International Law', Sarah Lok discusses how island states at risk of submergence because of climate change can, and should, continue to be recognised under the Montevideo Convention and the framework of state responsibility. Continued recognition, she argues, is a feasible remedy in response to the internationally wrongful conduct of states in neglecting their legally binding climate-related obligations. Lastly, in 'The Supreme Court in Guest v Guest: Remedial Mysteries in Proprietary Estoppel', Raiff Kai Andrews comments on the recent Supreme Court judgment of Guest v Guest, and argues that the majority is right to use the promisee's expectations as a starting point when assessing the appropriate remedy for a claim in proprietary estoppel.

We are grateful to all contributors for their thoughtful submissions and for bringing a diverse range of views and opinions to the table. We hope that the articles published in this Issue will provide food for thought and will serve as a springboard for future scholarship.

September 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Hostis Humani Generis: Universal Jurisdiction in English Criminal Law Mischa Gureghian Hall	1
Sinking States, Sunken Statehood? The Recognition of Submerged States under International Law Sarah Lok	31
The Supreme Court in Guest v Guest: Remedial Mysteries in Proprietary Estoppel Raiff Kai Andrews	56